

Test Report No. 20873A-rev.1

First issue date: 12/04/2021

Sponsor

WALLBARN LTD.
Unit 16 Capital Business Centre, 22 Carlton Road
CR2 0BS South Croydon
UNITED KINGDOM

Trade name of the roof covering

M-Tray® modular green roof system

Manufacturer of the roof covering

WALLBARN LTD.
Unit 16 Capital Business Centre, 22 Carlton Road
CR2 0BS South Croydon
UNITED KINGDOM

Supplier of the roof covering

WALLBARN LTD.
Unit 16 Capital Business Centre, 22 Carlton Road
CR2 0BS South Croydon
UNITED KINGDOM

Nature of the tests

Test methods for external fire exposure to roofs: Test 4: Method with two stages incorporating burning brands, wind and supplementary radiant heat, according to CEN/TS 1187:2012: Test 4.

PREPARED BY



APPROVED BY



This report consists of 10 pages including 1 annex

This document is the original version of this test report and is written in English.

This report may be used only literally and completely for publications. - For publications of certain texts, in which this report is mentioned, our permission must be obtained in advance.

The authenticity of the electronic signatures is assured by Belgium Root CA.







1. DATA CONCERNING THE TEST SPECIMENS

Type of specimen: Recycled PP tray, filled with lightweight growing media with plants in it.

The firm Wallbarn LTD. has provided the laboratory, on 05/01/2021, with 4 mounted roof specimens. These roof specimens were prepared conforming to the prescriptions of the above-mentioned standard. The laboratory did not supervise the specimen fabrication.

Sampling by : David Holloway Sampling date : 18/12/2020 Sample ID : 20-04-B24

Production place : White down Farm, Tadley, Hampshire,

RG23 8PF

Production line : Row/Bed 24
Production date : 14/04/2020
Identification within the quality system : IMS.T.810v1







2. <u>DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST ROOF DECK</u>

This description is based on information given by the sponsor.

	Nominal values (1)	Measured values (2)			
M-Tray® modular green roof sys	tem				
SUBSTRATE	SUBSTRATE				
Material	Fibre cement board				
Thickness (mm)	12				
Density (kg/m³)	1280				
Flame retardants	No	(3)			
ROOF COVERING					
1.1 First layer: Recycled poly	propylene tray carrier				
Material	A re-granulated PP tray carrier, made form of the tray is obtained through in	e from post-consumer PP (PCR). The njection moulding.			
PP/PCR type	PP2117 x yy/zz, PP2131F20 yy/zz, PP2132 z yy/zz, PP2143 x yy/zz, PP	PP2123 x yy/zz, PP 2126 x yy/zz, 2154 x yy/zz, and PP2182 x yy/zz			
Trade name	M-Tray® modular green roof system				
Manufacturer	Techmarkets Ltd				
Supplier	Wallbarn Ltd				
Reinforcement (nature and g/m²)	None				
Thickness (mm)	2	(4)			
Mass of the tray (g)	4400	(4)			
Flame retardants	No	(3)			
Fixing method	Loose laid	Loose laid			
1.2 <u>Top layer:</u> Lightweight gr	owing media				
Material The carrier tray is filled with substrate, in which the plants substrate a mixture of compost, coir, lytag and expanded of the carrier tray is filled with substrate, in which the plants substrate a mixture of compost, coir, lytag and expanded of the carrier tray is filled with substrate, in which the plants substrate a mixture of compost, coir, lytag and expanded of the carrier tray is filled with substrate, in which the plants substrate a mixture of compost, coir, lytag and expanded of the carrier tray is filled with substrate.					
Weight percentage (w%)					
Compost	6,6	(3)			
Coir	4	(3)			
Lytag	50	(3)			
Expanded clay	39 - 40 (3)				
Trade name	M-Tray® modular green roof system				
Manufacturer / Supplier	Sedum Growers Ltd				
Reinforcement (nature and g/m²)					
Thickness (mm)	70 - 80	(3)			
Surface weight (g/m²)	80000 (*)	(3)			
Flame retardants	No	(3)			
Fixing method	Loose laid in the tray	Loose laid in the tray			







1.3 Top layer: Plants			
	Sedum spp. (succulent plants) ar	nd wildflowers fully rooted into the	
Material	substrate / lightweight growing me	edia. The wildflowers are a mix of	
	different species, typically found in the UK.		
Relative amount of plants (%)			
Sedum spp.	90	(3)	
Wildflowers	10	(3)	
Trade name	M-Tray® modular green roof system	1	
Manufacturer / Supplier			
Sedum spp.	,		
Wildflowers	John Chambers (https://www.johnch	amberswildflowers.co.uk/)	
Height of the plants above the	20 – 30 mm	(4)	
growing media (mm)	20 30 11111	(4)	
Surface weight (g/m²) sedum spp.			
(mature plants, not seeds)			
Dry (35 RH%)	4000	(3)	
Standard (55 RH%)	8000 - 10000	(3)	
Humid (85 RH%)	15000	(3)	
Surface weight (g/m²) wildflowers			
(mature plants, not seeds)			
Dry (35 RH%)	3500	(3)	
Standard (55 RH%)	7000 - 9000	(3)	
Humid (85 RH%)	13000	(3)	
Amount of organic material of the	100	(3)	
toplayer (%)	100	(0)	
Flame retardants	No	(3)	
	Seeds are sown in the growing		
Fixing method	medium and nurtured until fully	(3)	
	grown.		

⁽¹⁾ Based on the information given by the sponsor

Position of the specimen:

The specimens were tested in the flat position. No joints were applied to the specimens, due to the nature of the system.





⁽²⁾ Values verified by the laboratory

⁽³⁾ Unverifiable by the laboratory

⁽⁴⁾ Not verified by the laboratory

^(*) surface weight of 80000 g/m², based on moist of the substrate at a depth of 70-80 mm (with 20-30 mm of rooted sedum spp./wildflowers on top filling the 100 mm deep trays)



Conditioning

The test specimens were subjected to ambient conditions between the completion of construction/delivery of the test specimen and the start of the test.

Deviations in terms of conditioning

The specimens have not been conditioned in accordance with the requirements of clause 4 of EN 13238:2010, as detailed in CEN/TS 1187:2012 section 7.5.

Impact of the deviation: In accordance with clause 7.10.1 of ISO/IEC 17025:2017 parts (b) [assessment of risk levels by the laboratory], (c) [evaluation of the significance of the non-confirming work], (d) [decision on acceptability] and (e) [customer notification], WFRGENT has evaluated the risk of the deviation to the test outcome to be non-material and therefore concludes the test outcome remains valid.

3. TEST RESULTS AND OBSERVATIONS

a) Moisture content

Due to the nature of the specimens, the moisture contents before and after the penetration tests were determined. This was achieved using a protimeter

	Penetration 1	Penetration 2	Penetration 3
Before (RH%)	94,1	207	138
After (RH%)	101	99,9	112

b) Calibration

Calibration date: 08/02/2021

Burner No:	1	2	3	4
Heatflux (kW/m²)	11,1	12,1	11,8	11,4
Criterium (kW/m²)	12 ± 1,5	12 ± 1,5	12 ± 1,5	12 ± 1,5







c) Test results

Test date: 08/02/2021

Room temperature at start of test (°C): 18

Roof pitch: 0°.

PRELIMINARY IGNITION TEST WITH BURNING BRANDS (STAGE 1)

Specimen No:	1
Duration of flaming after withdrawal of the test flame (min:sec)	00:00
Maximum flame spread distance (mm)	0
Time to fire penetration (min:sec)	Did not penetrate
Nature of the penetration	N.a.

PENETRATION TEST WITH BURNING BRANDS, WIND AND SUPPLEMENTARY RADIANT HEAT (STAGE 2)

Specimen No:	2	3	4	Average
Time to fire penetration (min:sec)	Did not penetrate	Did not penetrate	Did not penetrate	Did not penetrate
Nature of the penetration	N.a.	N.a.	N.a.	-
Additional observations: None of the specimens ignited.				

Photo of the test specimen before and after the test: annex 1.







4. <u>DIRECT FIELD OF APPLICATION OF TEST RESULTS</u>

a) Summary of the test results

	Specimen number	Time to fire penetration (min:sec)	Duration of flaming after withdrawal of test flame (min:sec)	Maximum flame spread distance (mm)
Stage 1	1	Did not penetrate	00:00	0
	2	Did not penetrate	(-)	(-)
Stage 2	3	Did not penetrate	(-)	(-)
	4	Did not penetrate	(-)	(-)
	Average	Did not penetrate	(-)	(-)

⁽⁻⁾ not applicable

b) Roof pitch

The roof as described has been tested with a roof pitch of 0°.

The test results apply to roofs with a pitch of $\leq 10^{\circ}$, as defined in § 4.10.1 of the standard.







Photo of the test specimen before and after the test

Preliminary: Before After





Penetration 1: Before

After





Penetration 2: Before

After









Test report No. 20873A-rev.1 Page 9 of 10 Annex 1 Page 2



Photo of the test specimen before and after the test

Penetration 3: Before After











Revision History

Issue (revision) No: Rev 1	Re-issue Date: 15/09/2023
Revised by: Joanne Shepherd	Approved by: Mikel Nachtergaele
J Shaphae Disa Superiore Manager Wernigaterie	Mikel Nachtergaele
J. Supprace	Project assistant

Reason for Revision:

This document supersedes and replaces all previous issues and revisions of the reports, which are void from their date of issue.

The only update in this revision of the test report is the amendment to the conditioning statement at the end of section 2. No other changes have been made to the report.

The revision author and approver have only considered and reviewed the conditioning statement in section 2; they have not carried out a full peer review on any other aspect of the original report, which had been prepared and approved by the author and approver stated on page 1 of this report.



